

November 6, 2010 ©Homer Kizer

Commentary — From the Margins

Post Election Reds & Blues

In the United States of America, political punditry has become national entertainment: punditry drives television ratings for news and pseudo-news networks, with Fox News dominating election-coverage Tuesday night (November 2nd). Still, in a nation of a 100+ million television viewers, fewer than a tithe of these viewers watched Fox's election coverage, meaning that most citizens were not *that* interested in what happened, or in who will spend their tax dollars. And this lack of interest might actually be an indicator of what lays beyond the nation and the world—

As a network, Fox News objectively reported the political insurgency of the *Tea Party* during the just-concluded election cycle, an insurgency that is sure to continue into the next cycle that began with poll closings. But an American insurgency—Americans generally being a civilized populace—doesn't kill its opposition with AK47s, RPGs, and IEDs, but uses constitutional intent and historical morality against an onslaught of *ad hominem* attacks that resulted in the character assassination of the insurgents: in an upside-down world, America's ruling establishment doesn't use missiles fired from drones or helicopter gunships to slay insurgents, but uses unguarded comments and chance remarks and expressions of school-age rebellion to destroy credibility. Nevertheless, in near suicidal attacks, *Tea Party* insurgents, ill trained for the rough and tumble hand-to-hand combat of political campaigning, charged into election races and broke through the establishment's skirmish line, only to then find themselves attacked from front and back as well as from both sides. But enough of them prevailed over the bloated knights of the establishment that their insurgency signals the end of the age; for the failure of American democracy remains certain in the ongoing demonstration of why the present prince of this world's governance was doomed from its inception. The insurgents now guarantee congressional gridlock, a welcome relief from the passage of two thousand page bills legislators did not read before voting to pass the legislation. But gridlock will be the justification used for "suspending" democracy as Americans know it: Social Security checks will still have to go out even if debt limits are not raised, and public safety must be guaranteed even when spending cuts threaten social stability ... the stated reason for ending America's experiment with democracy will not be the real reason that a new world order blossoms in the wake of a collapse of confidence in the dollar and in the nation's ability to pay its bills. The real reason will not be in this world—

The same prince of this world—the prince of the power of the air, that is the spirit now at work in all sons of disobedience (Eph 2:2)—that Christ Jesus

defeated and will replace when the kingdom of this world is given to the Son of Man (Dan 7:9–14; Rev 11:15–18) remains in place, and continues to rule over the mental topography of living creatures. This prince is the Adversary, and this means that the United States of America, despite popular claims for divine inspiration of the Constitution, is subject to the present prince of this world as is Marxist Venezuela and Sharia-ruled Iran.

America's founding fathers were not exempted from what John wrote in the Apocalypse: "Now war arose in heaven, Michael and his angels fighting against the dragon. And the dragon and his angels fought back, but he was defeated, and there was no longer any place for them in heaven. And *the great dragon was thrown down, that ancient serpent, who is called the devil and Satan, the deceiver of the whole world*—he was thrown down to the earth, and his angels were thrown down with him" (Rev 12:7–9 emphasis added). The present prince of this world will reign over humankind until he is cast down, into time, and a new Prince—the Son of Man—begins to reign as King of kings and Lord of lords. But as the deceiver of this world, the Adversary, when cast into time, comes claiming to be the Messiah: "I [John] saw another beast rising out of the earth. It had two horns like a lamb and it spoke like a dragon" (Rev 13:11) for it is the dragon, that old serpent, Satan, who disguises himself as an angel of light (2 Cor 11:14).

All authority in this world descends from God, but comes through the present prince of this world to whom God has consigned all of humanity as sons of disobedience (Rom 11:32; Eph 2:2–3). To the extent that a person, Christian or otherwise, does not walk as Jesus walked, covering the person with the garment of obedience to God, the person is deceived by the Adversary and remains as a bondservant to the Adversary. The Apostle Paul wrote, "Do you not know that if you present yourselves to anyone as obedient slaves, you are the slaves of the one whom you obey, either of sin, which leads to death, or of obedience, which leads to righteousness" (Rom 6:16); so the Christian over whom sin has no dominion, for the Christian is not under the law but under grace (*v.* 14), that either never leaves sin or who returns to sin—unbelief that leads to lawlessness—willingly presents himself to the Adversary as his slave. For Paul also writes, "For all who have sinned without the law will also perish without the law, and all who have sinned under the law will be judged by the law. For it is not the hearers of the law who are righteous before God, but the doers of the law who will be justified" (Rom 2:12–13) ... grace is the garment of Christ, of Christ Jesus' righteousness [obedience], and a Christian takes him or herself out from under grace by returning to sin when sin has no dominion over the person. The Christian who is not under grace is, therefore, rather easily identified: the Christian who does strive to walk as Jesus walked does not know Christ Jesus and is not of Christ. The Christians who does not strive to keep the commandments is at best a mere hearer of the law as Pharisees in the 1st-Century were; for it isn't keeping the commandments—the works of the law—that justify a person, but the desire to keep the commandments by faith as the Christian's outward expression of belief-

of and love for God. It is faith manifested as belief [πιστεύω] that causes a person to be a doer of the law, justified by grace.

If a person does not strive to walk as Jesus walked—and some Christians in America did so strive in the late 18th-Century (their loyalty was never trusted by the Continentals, for their loyalty was to God and not to a secular cause)—the person simply is not of God, regardless of the character of the person. If such a person is pious and disposed to preach the gospel, the person remains an agent of the Adversary; the person merely disguises him or herself as a servant [ministers] of righteousness (2 Cor 11:15). The person incorporates him or herself into one of the two horns visibly seen on the head of the old dragon, Satan the devil, when the Adversary is cast to earth, these two horns having been present on the Adversary since the beginning of the Christian era.

It wasn't divine inspiration that fueled America's experiment with representational democracy, but pragmatism and an inherent, new-world cultural distrust of deceived men: America's founding fathers crafted a weak and ineffective Federal government because that is what they wanted, a government that wasn't able to often intrude into the lives of its citizens. ... Although President Obama wasn't on the ballot, the state races were about him and his objection of what he terms "the negative rights" of the U.S. Constitution: America's founding fathers sought to limit centralized authority because they didn't trust their red-coated brothers, whereas American progressives [American Marxists] seem to desire a government of "positive rights," or a government that does for its citizens what they could do for themselves if they were not fettered by heavy taxation.

The red states and the blue states on election maps since 2000 mirror the red and blue uniforms of the Continental Revolution. Some readers will quibble, claiming that the red states would be wearing the blue uniforms of the Continental Army, a valid observation, but my Dissenter ancestors did not wear either red or blue for they sought purity, the white robes of the saints.

In the 18th-Century, the men who handled the reins of power were all sons of disobedience even though some of them wore the garb of Christian piety, the so-called *black robe regiment*, with the color of their robes identifying whom they served. Today, the men and women who handle the reins of national and international governance are sons of disobedience, all of them, no exceptions, with the *prima facie* evidence of American presidents ruling as sons of disobedience being found in the answer to the question, when was the last time an American president kept the Sabbaths of the Lord, all of them, the weekly Sabbath as well as the annual Sabbaths? Which president took the Passover sacraments of bread and wine on the dark portion of the 14th of *Aviv*? Which president did not lie? Which president placed God before country or self. George Washington looks pretty good, but he did not walk as Jesus walked or even pretend to walk as Jesus walked. And once past Washington and Adams, the piety of presidents suffers greatly.

No president has kept the Passover as Jesus did, but then, American Christendom [there is such a beast] does not ask its disciples to take the Passover

sacraments as Jesus did. Rather, American Christendom mingles the sacred [Christ Jesus] with the profane [worship of the *invincible sun*] in a mongrelized religion that has its disciples professing that Jesus is Lord, but simultaneously rebelling against the Lord by refusing to walk as Jesus walked. American presidents wear red or blue ideologies; yet it is the white field of the flag that has true significance ... the American flag symbolizes America's mingling of the sacred and the profane. To fly the Stars and Stripes is to fly evidence of the co-opting of Christ by the Adversary; for the true Christian flies the white flag of surrender to God.

The Christian who strives to walk as Jesus walked simply does not participate in worldly governance ... it's not yet time for the Christian to set right what is wrong. Efforts of Christians to now set right a tilting world, or, once the Second Passover occurs, to save a falling world are nothing more than the Christian trying to save the Adversary from the consequences of his rebellion against God.

The above will be difficult for most Christians to accept; for the so-called *natural desire* of human beings is to save this world. Out of love for neighbor and brother, Christians want to save what is good and repair what has failed in a world ruled by the Adversary, but in doing so, they make themselves accomplices of the Adversary. They become his ministers, his servants, equivalent to the Hebrew taskmasters Pharaoh placed over Hebrew slaves in Egypt. And when Moses went to Pharaoh to ask that the Hebrews be allowed to go three days journey into the wilderness to sacrifice to the Lord, it was the Hebrew taskmasters that were beaten by their Egyptian overlords because the brick quota was not met. It will be the endtime *black robe regiment* that is abused by the Adversary when Christ sets His hand to liberate Christians from indwelling sin and death

The *watchers* told King Nebuchadnezzar that the Lord gives the kingdom of men to whom He will and sets over it the basest of men (Dan 4:17) ... how do America legislators compare to Jesus the Nazarene? Again, which one of them walk as Jesus walked? Who among all Christian leaders in America kept the Passover in 2010 after the example Jesus left with His disciples? None did!

Without walking as Jesus walked, the person is not of God regardless of what the person claims about him or herself; so no president of the United States of America has been of God, not Washington, Adams, Jefferson, or any since. Although quite a few American presidents have been exceptional men—have been men of high integrity and of great personal courage—none have been born of God as sons of God. None have walked as Jesus walked. But, then, it is not yet time for the single kingdom of this world to be given to the Son of Man. It won't be time until all forms of human governance have been shown to be failures. And American democracy remains as humankind's last best hope, and by extension as the present prince of this world's best hope to justify his rebellion against the Most High God.

But if America represents the best governance humankind can offer, the world is doomed to disintegrate in a chaotic abyss. Once the populace realizes that it can strip the rich of their wealth through the popular vote, there is no restraint on

the thievery and mischief of the poor. Ultimately, democracy is mob rule. The only question is whether the mob is “principled” or “unprincipled” when it robs the rich.

Despite one national media figure’s attempts to return the United States to its founding principles and values, America is certain to collapse; for America’s founding principles were never of God. (That national figure is Glenn Beck of Fox News.) America’s founding principles are those of Korah, who said to Moses and Aaron, “You have gone too far! For all in the congregation are holy, every one of them, and the Lord is among them. Why then do you exalt yourselves above the assembly of the Lord?” (Num 16:3) ... Korah was an advocate for representational democracy, for the authority to rule belonging to the assembly and not solely resting in the person of Moses. America’s Constitution establishes representational democracy—and representational democracy in 15th-Century BCE or in 18th-Century CE is rebellion against Moses, and by extension, against the Lord.

I have asked the question many times over the past eight years: what does Korah say that is wrong? Was not the congregation holy? Was not every Israelite holy (see Ex 19:5–6)? Did not Israel question Moses’ authority to speak with Pharaoh when Moses, by speaking to Pharaoh, seemed to have made Israel’s plight worse?

Afterward Moses and Aaron went and said to Pharaoh, “Thus says the Lord, the God of Israel, ‘Let my people go, that they may hold a feast to me in the wilderness.’” But Pharaoh said, “Who is the Lord, that I should obey his voice and let Israel go? I do not know the Lord, and moreover, I will not let Israel go.” Then they said, “The God of the Hebrews has met with us. Please let us go a three days’ journey into the wilderness that we may sacrifice to the Lord our God, lest he fall upon us with pestilence or with the sword.” But the king of Egypt said to them, “Moses and Aaron, why do you take the people away from their work? Get back to your burdens.” And Pharaoh said, “Behold, the people of the land are now many, and you make them rest from their burdens!” The same day Pharaoh commanded the taskmasters of the people and their foremen, “You shall no longer give the people straw to make bricks, as in the past; let them go and gather straw for themselves. But the number of bricks that they made in the past you shall impose on them, you shall by no means reduce it, for they are idle. Therefore they cry, ‘Let us go and offer sacrifice to our God.’ Let heavier work be laid on the men that they may labor at it and pay no regard to lying words.” / So the taskmasters and the foremen of the people went out and said to the people, “Thus says Pharaoh, ‘I will not give you straw. Go and get your straw yourselves wherever you can find it, but your work will not be reduced in the least.’” So the people were scattered throughout all the land of Egypt to gather stubble for straw. The taskmasters were urgent, saying, “Complete your work, your daily

task each day, as when there was straw.” And the foremen of the people of Israel, whom Pharaoh's taskmasters had set over them, were beaten and were asked, “Why have you not done all your task of making bricks today and yesterday, as in the past?” / Then the foremen of the people of Israel came and cried to Pharaoh, “Why do you treat your servants like this? No straw is given to your servants, yet they say to us, ‘Make bricks!’ And behold, your servants are beaten; but the fault is in your own people.” But he said, “You are idle, you are idle; that is why you say, ‘Let us go and sacrifice to the Lord.’ Go now and work. No straw will be given you, but you must still deliver the same number of bricks.” *The foremen of the people of Israel saw that they were in trouble when they said, “You shall by no means reduce your number of bricks, your daily task each day.” They met Moses and Aaron, who were waiting for them, as they came out from Pharaoh; and they said to them, “The Lord look on you and judge, because you have made us stink in the sight of Pharaoh and his servants, and have put a sword in their hand to kill us.”* (Ex 5:1–21 emphasis added)

The resentment expressed in the Israelite foremen saying to Moses, *The Lord look on you and judge*, was never directly addressed by the Lord prior to the Passover liberation of Israel, nor addressed in the first year Israel trekked through the wilderness ... Moses didn't seek authority over Israel. He would have, if he had his way, remained an exile in the land of Midian even though he knew from his youth that he differed from his fellow Hebrews; for he was reared as Pharaoh's daughter's son. He was not reared as a slave, but as an Egyptian, albeit as a circumcised Egyptian. He would have been trained in the use of the bow and sword, in military tactics and strategy. Yet it wasn't his training, his education, his prowess with sword or tactics that would liberate Israel although it would have been reasonable for him, as a young man, to assume that he had been chosen by fate to lead Israel in a rebellion against Pharaoh.

But Israel was unruly and not a people over whom anyone could easily rule when Moses was grown ... “he [Moses] went out to his people and looked on their burdens, and he saw an Egyptian beating a Hebrew, one of his people. He looked this way and that, and seeing no one, he struck down the Egyptian and hid him in the sand. When he went out the next day, behold, two Hebrews were struggling together. And he said to the man in the wrong, ‘Why do you strike your companion?’ He answered, ‘Who made you a prince and a judge over us? Do you mean to kill me as you killed the Egyptian?’” (Ex 2:11–14).

Who made you a prince and a judge—the question was asked of Moses before Moses fled to the land of Midian. And the same question was asked by the Hebrew taskmasters who ruled over their brethren—and the same question was asked by Korah.

In killing the Egyptian—which probably seemed like a good idea at the time—Moses acted presumptuously. He acted without authority from God to act. He did what seemed best to him.

What Moses couldn't know when he killed the Egyptian was that the Lord intended to liberate Israel from slavery 430 years—to the day—from when Israel in the person of Joseph entered Egypt as a slave.

In a vision, the Lord told Abraham [then still *Abram*],

Know for certain that your offspring will be sojourners in a land that is not theirs and will be servants there, and they will be afflicted for four hundred years. But I will bring judgment on the nation that they serve, and afterward they shall come out with great possessions. As for yourself, you shall go to your fathers in peace; you shall be buried in a good old age. And they shall come back here in the fourth generation, for the iniquity of the Amorites is not yet complete. (Gen 15:13–16)

Not even four hundred years had transpired when Moses slew the Egyptian ... it simply wasn't time for Israel's release from slavery. Nor was it time for circumcised-of-heart Israel's release from indwelling sin and death when America's founding fathers drafted the U.S. Constitution. The fullness of the Gentiles had not come to the Lord in the 18th-Century; nor had the fullness of the Gentiles come to the Lord in the 20th-Century.

In vision, John was given a measuring rod and told, “Rise and measure the temple of God and the altar and those who worship there, but do not measure the court outside the temple; leave that out, for it is given over to the nations, and they will trample the holy city for forty-two months. And I will grant authority to my two witnesses, and they will prophesy for 1,260 days, clothed in sackcloth” (Rev 11:1–3). The forty-two months when Gentiles trample heavenly Jerusalem are the 1260 days of the two witnesses' ministry ... the ministry of the two witnesses will not begin until the fullness of the Gentiles have come to God and are within the temple as circumcised-of-heart Israel, measured with a rod like a shepherd's staff.

The two witnesses will function for circumcised-of-heart Israel as Moses and Aaron functioned for circumcised-in-the-flesh Israel three and a half millennia ago—and as Israel challenged Moses' authority to judge the people both in Egypt and in the wilderness, Christians will challenge the two witnesses' authority to lead Christianity into God's rest. But a difference between the shadow [Moses and Aaron] and the two witnesses will exist: there will be no forcing Christians to believe or follow the two witnesses, meaning that if Christians want to return to sin [unbelief] when the Torah has been written on hearts and placed in minds, no one will stop them. They will be free to condemn themselves to the lake of fire.

When the fullness of the Gentiles have come to God (i.e., when those human beings foreknown by God and thereby predestined to be called, justified, and glorified have cleansed their hearts by faith), humankind can then get no farther from God than it is, odd reasoning that needs explained ... *when all foreknown sons of God have undertaken a journey of faith from spiritual Babylon to God's rest, represented by Sabbath observance (cf. Heb 3:12–4:11; Ps 95:10–11), no foreknown son of God will remain as a son of disobedience in this world. All foreknown sons of God will have been mentally withdrawn from this world.*

Thus, without any foreknown sons of God remaining in this world, humankind is as far from God—from the light that is God—as humankind can get. The midnight hour of the long spiritual night that began at Calvary will finally be upon humankind in a manner analogous to how the 430 years were complete when Israel left Egypt so long ago. And the Second Passover liberation of Israel, now the circumcised-of-heart nation, will occur.

Moses could not liberate Israel with sword or bow or military tactics; Moses could not liberate Israel before it was time; i.e., before the death angel passed throughout the land, slaying all uncovered firstborns of man and beast. Likewise, the ministry of the two witnesses does not begin before it is time, before the fullness of the Gentiles have come to God—and come to God not through clever arguments or inspired preaching, but through the Father drawing the foreknown person from this world (John 6:44). As Moses caused trouble for himself [when he slew the Egyptian] and for Israel [when he asked Pharaoh to let Israel serve its God three days' journey into the wilderness], the two witnesses, if they try to force a ministry before the Second Passover, can only cause trouble for themselves and for Sabbatarian Christians. They are to wait as Moses waited forty years—and they will wait as Moses waited. Then, when those Christian overseers who have long served the present prince of this world say the equivalent of, *The Lord look on you and judge*, the day of Christendom's liberation will be at hand; for the Lord will judge between Moses and the overseers, between the two witnesses and the ten leading Christian theologians who would prevent Christians from keeping the commandments, with that judgment condemning the rebels to the lake of fire.

Moses wasn't with Israel when the people rebelled at Sinai, a rebellion that Aaron accommodated by casting the gold calf [or calves] — and it is this separation between Moses and Aaron that will be seen when the Affliction begins, a subject that will be developed at another time.

Human diversity of thought as to how best administer power and dispense justice over other sons of disobedience is rooted in the diversity within spiritual Babylon itself, diversity characterized by a *bicolor* [yellow/white] metal hierarchy (Dan 2:36–43) represented by the humanoid image Nebuchadnezzar saw in vision. For human ideologies have not descended from the unfettered freewill and imagination of human beings, but from heavenly rebellion against the Most High. The “natural state” of human beings isn't *natural* at all, but is the production of the prince of the power of the air; for when the world is baptized [submerged] in the breath of God,

The wolf shall dwell with the lamb,
and the leopard shall lie down with the young goat,
and the calf and the lion and the fattened calf together;
and a little child shall lead them.
The cow and the bear shall graze;
their young shall lie down together;
and the lion shall eat straw like the ox.
The nursing child shall play over the hole of the cobra,

and the weaned child shall put his hand on the adder's den.
They shall not hurt or destroy
in all my holy mountain;
for the earth shall be full of the knowledge of the Lord
as the waters cover the sea. (Isa 11:6–9)

When the spirit of God is poured out on all flesh (Joel 2:28), the *natures* of the great predators will be changed. Likewise, human nature will be changed: when the single kingdom of this world is given to the Son of Man (Dan 7:9–14; Rev 11:15–18), the present prince of this world will be cast to earth and given the mind of a man. The new Prince of the power of the air will be Christ Jesus, and with the pouring out of the spirit, all of humankind will receive the mind [nature] of Christ Jesus. So the so-called *natural state* of human beings is a received nature from the prince of the power of the air: human beings, despite the vaunted opinion they hold of themselves, are merely puppets manipulated by invisible strings and unseen hands.

The election was less about Republicans and Democrats than it was about whether the spiritual Prince of Greece [gold colored bronze] or the Prince of Persia [silver colored] will win the skirmish presently being fought in the war between these two *sars* in the Adversary's reigning hierarchy, with the Prince of Greece ultimately trampling the Prince of Persia—

The red and the blue of election maps could be better presented by the gold-color and silver-color of spiritual Babylon.

What are *Greek* values or ideologies? Certainly democracy is a value ancient Athens held, but the warrior culture of Afghanistan insurgents would seem to be a continuation of Spartan ideology, with the conflict between Athens and Sparta leaping millennia and reappearing in the 21st-Century. ... Has the he-goat representing the *sar* of Greece already trampled the spiritual *sar* of Persia as the prophet Daniel saw in vision (Dan 8:5–7)? Certainly American forces representing Greek ideology flew out of the west to trample an Iraqi regime claiming to represent Babylon, with the prophetic location of this trampling being the Ulaï canal (eastern Iraq). Is what's being seen today in the continuing Afghanistan conflict a skirmish of an Athenian ideology against a Spartan ideology, or Greek against Greek, with the deadly fallout of this spiritual squabbling between *sars* of the federation of Greece seeming to appear as fighting between the Prince of Greece and the Prince of Persia?

When I was in the Aleutians, there was a popular silkscreened logo for T-shirts and sweatshirts: *KODIAK — It's Not The End Of The World, But You Can See It From Here*. This saying had adaptations, with Governor Sarah Palin being faulted for a common one, *I Can See Russia From My Back Window*, a saying that is true for Alaskans living on the state's westernmost islands, but a saying that is figuratively true for all residents of what was once Russian-America, for Russia is not far when a person lives at Ninilchik or when fishing the Kasilof River or when crossing Ugak Bay. Christianity isn't necessarily, for Alaskans, a religion that came from Rome through the Reformation, but a religion that came from St. Petersburg, with Alaska's most famous *Christian* leaving the Great Land

to become the Metro of Moscow. Hence, the Unalaska adaption of the Kodiak logo has *Tomorrow* being seen from Dutch Harbor, which is almost literally true and certainly figuratively true; for the International Date Line bends west to incorporate the Aleutian Islands that are in the Eastern Hemisphere into “today” in the Western Hemisphere, then bends back east to pass through the Bering Strait. So for the crew of an Alaskan fishing vessel traveling north from the Semiti Islands, “tomorrow” comes and goes with the horizon: it is here, then gone, as if tomorrow were today.

In the supra-dimensional heavenly realm, there is neither yesterday nor tomorrow: there is only the present, with those things that occur in the present erasing those things that came before, thereby requiring that what “is” coexist with what “was” and what “will be” in a dance of oneness. Christ does not change (Heb 13:8) for the moment in which He now dwells does not change: tomorrow is today. With God, what was true yesterday remains true today and will be true tomorrow; for time and the passage of time is an attribute of the creation and the decay of dark matter that results in the expansion of space-time. Truth exists outside of time and beyond the reach of decay. And Jesus told Pilate, “Everyone who is of the truth listens to my voice” (John 18:37).

The *ad hominem* attacks on Sarah Palin disclose just how removed the Lower Forty-Eight [known by the generic term, *Outside*] is from Alaska and Alaskans; for spiritually, the fundamentalist insurgency occurring in Afghanistan forms the mirror or reversed image of the Establishment’s attacks on *Tea Party* insurgents, with both insurgencies being sustained by the spillover of the ongoing fighting occurring in the heavenly realm, fighting that cast as its shadow Alexander’s 4th-Century BCE campaign against first Greek mercenaries employed by the Persians, then against Persians for dominance of the world as Persians and Greeks knew it, then against Greeks within his military command structure ... it is the passage of time—passage that can be written as a mathematical function of gravity—that dimensionally separates the shadow [the effect] from what casts the shadow [the cause] in what would seem to be backward causation or retrocausation, a concept with which quantum physics wrestles.

A shadow exists in one less dimension than the reality that casts the shadow; e.g., a three dimensional object casts a two dimensional shadow. A living entity in the supra-dimensional heavenly realm casts a shadow *when it blocks the light that is God* in one less dimension than the supra-dimension in which the entity dwells, or said in the vernacular of Christendom, this means that unbelief in heavenly entities casts shadows over the mental topography of spiritually lifeless human beings, thereby animating these human beings that are not born of God. Unbelief in an angelic son of God, or sin [the manifestation of unbelief] in a human son of God blocks the light of God [light that is God] and thereby casts a shadow over human beings that remain as sons of disobedience.

Light casts no shadow of itself. It is what blocks the light that casts a shadow. Thus, when disciples are without indwelling sin in their fleshly members, they will cast no shadow; they will disappear in Scripture, which is the record of the shadows cast by circumcised-of-heart Israel, shadows that reveal darkly what

could not otherwise be known about angelic and human sons of God. And what is known; what is foregrounded post-election 2010 is the insurgencies of fundamentalist theologies.

American progressivism—again, America’s version of Marxism—was dealt a hard blow, but not a knockout punch Tuesday: *the hope and change* promised in 2008 was an allegorical spilling of red wine on a white tablecloth from a mostly empty goblet being knocked over and not overfilled. The wine was mistaken for blood: the Grand Old Party (GOP) was pronounced dead. But before the death certificate could be signed (by many left-handed pens), the insurgency began ... as Walter (Wally) Hickel (August 18, 1919–May 7, 2010) had to “borrow” a political party when he ran for Alaskan governor on the Alaskan Independence Party ticket in 1990, *Tea Party* insurgents had to “borrow” a political party in 2010, the Republican Party, but these insurgents are Constitutionalists. They are neither red nor blue nor white, but the flag itself, a mingling of the sacred with the profane in a forbidden fruit cocktail. And they will lead the rebellion against God 220 days into the Affliction, the first 1260 days of the seven endtime years. They will fight against those whose loyalty to the Rebellion they have questioned since 1776; they will slay Sabbatarian Christians and believe they do God a favor, little realizing that in taking lawlessness back inside themselves following the Second Passover liberation of Israel, they slay themselves.

*

"Scripture quotations are from The Holy Bible, English Standard Version, copyright © 2001 by Crossway Bibles, a division of Good News Publishers. Used by permission. All rights reserved."

* * * * *

[[Current Commentary](#)] [[Archived Commentaries](#)] [[Home](#)]