

Commentary — From the Margins

Fiat Enslavement

Part Three

The Lord spoke to Moses on Mount Sinai, saying, “Speak to the people of Israel and say to them, When you come into the land that I give you, the land shall keep a Sabbath to the Lord. For six years you shall sow your field, and for six years you shall prune your vineyard and gather in its fruits, but in the seventh year there shall be a Sabbath of solemn rest for the land, a Sabbath to the Lord. You shall not sow your field or prune your vineyard. You shall not reap what grows of itself in your harvest, or gather the grapes of your undressed vine. It shall be a year of solemn rest for the land. The Sabbath of the land shall provide food for you, for yourself and for your male and female slaves and for your hired servant and the sojourner who lives with you, and for your cattle and for the wild animals that are in your land: all its yield shall be for food. / You shall count seven weeks of years, seven times seven years, so that the time of the seven weeks of years shall give you forty-nine years. Then you shall sound the loud trumpet on the tenth day of the seventh month. On the Day of Atonement you shall sound the trumpet throughout all your land. And you shall consecrate the fiftieth year, and proclaim liberty throughout the land to all its inhabitants. It shall be a jubilee for you, when each of you shall return to his property and each of you shall return to his clan. *That fiftieth year shall be a jubilee for you; in it you shall neither sow nor reap what grows of itself nor gather the grapes from the undressed vines. For it is a jubilee. It shall be holy to you. You may eat the produce of the field.* / In this year of jubilee each of you shall return to his property. And if you make a sale to your neighbor or buy from your neighbor, you shall not wrong one another. You shall pay your neighbor according to the number of

years after the jubilee, and he shall sell to you according to the number of years for crops. If the years are many, you shall increase the price, and if the years are few, you shall reduce the price, for *it is the number of the crops that he is selling to you*. You shall not wrong one another, but you shall fear your God, for I am the Lord your God. / Therefore you shall do my statutes and keep my rules and perform them, and then you will dwell in the land securely. The land will yield its fruit, and you will eat your fill and dwell in it securely. And if you say, ‘What shall we eat in the seventh year, if we may not sow or gather in our crop?’ I will command my blessing on you in the sixth year, so that it will produce a crop sufficient for three years. *When you sow in the eighth year, you will be eating some of the old crop; you shall eat the old until the ninth year, when its crop arrives.* / The land shall not be sold in perpetuity, for the land is mine. For you are strangers and sojourners with me. And in all the country you possess, you shall allow a redemption of the land.” (Lev 25:1–24 emphasis added)

Part Two of this Commentary ended with further explanation of the *key of David* being needed—not what the key is, but how this *key* can be deployed from inside the creation ... there will necessarily be a Part Three and a Part Four.

5.

The size of the debt of the United States of America is easily expressed as 14.3 trillion dollars [14,300,000,000,000.00], but those zeros conceal the enormous size of the number, which conservative news commentators and political pundits attempt to express in various analogies, such as a trillion dollar bills placed end-to-end would reach to the moon and back thirty times. The amount of debt owed by the United States is staggering and represents an enslaving burden placed by the present generation of Americans on their children and grandchildren and great-grandchildren. Instead of the children of the United States being able to look forward to fiscal release at a forthcoming year of jubilee as the children of Israel in the Promised Land were able to do, the children of America can only look forward to fiscal slavery of a type exemplified by the physical slavery of ancient Israel in Egypt by a Pharaoh that did not know Joseph: the present Administration of the United States neither knows God nor wants to know God. For the present Administration, the national debt crisis is an *opportunity* that this Administration already plans to exploit.

Apparently America’s national debt is not a serious concern for Democratic politicians or theorists although it has become a talking point for those seeking

reelection to offices the person is not qualified to hold. The debt crisis—yes, America’s national debit has reached crisis size—will create the opportunity needed for American Progressives to end constitutional governance and the new world order that began with America’s founding fathers, the world order that is presently sweeping through the Near and Middle East, a world order based on *representational democracy*. America’s constitutional governance will not end because an adversary militarily defeats America on a battlefield, but through a simple decree [a fiat] issued in the name of the people to protect the good people of the United States so that the checks will continue.

The United States of America is presently engaged in a war—one war—that is visible in three and possibly soon four overseas military campaigns as well as here in Wisconsin, Ohio, Indiana, and in many other states. This one war doesn’t originate in this visible world, but in the portion of the heavenly realm that is in the Abyss. This war in the invisible portion of the Abyss spills over into this world, thus affecting all of humankind: a civil war being fought between the demonic kings of Persia and the federated king of Greece affects the children of Adam everywhere wherever they dwell, for this invisible war governs the outcome of today’s world affairs. It fuels the strife within America’s borders and on America’s border. It is the cause of the protest movements against the status quo in varying dictatorships for the protestors *protest* because their mental landscapes have become the turf over which these demonic kings fight.

Sounds like science fiction? It isn’t. Rather, science fiction writers have borrowed from Scripture, have received dreams and visions, have pushed cutting edge technology over the horizon of realism so that the line between what is real and what is fiction has become blurred for a season. But that is how it is supposed to be, odd as that statement seems. Human beings are not the masters of their own minds, or of even *human nature*. Freewill is not *free* but bought with a price, the blood of Christ Jesus. So for a Christian to squander what has been purchased by so high a price is morally offensive: no person is humanly born *free* to believe God and keep the commandments, but is born sold into slavery to Sin, born already sold down the river with Sin having been given dominion over the person through God consigning all of humanity to disobedience so that He may have mercy on all (Rom 11:32).

Men and women are born in subjection to the appetites of the flesh: to the hunger of bellies and the lust of loins, the bronze spiritual king of Greece. Neither men nor women are born free to keep the commandments of God—they don’t want to keep the commandments, and they are enslaved by their desires, their emotions, the thoughts of their minds. Americans more so than other peoples of this world are born as the perfect slaves, believing they are free to pursue the finer things in life, a better life; believing they are free to worship God however they choose when they are not free to come to Christ Jesus and walk as He walked unless the Father first draws them (John 6:44, 65) and Jesus calls them (John 15:16).

Decision theology—what Dr. Billy Graham teaches—is a lie!

In the United States, union sympathizers in Wisconsin and in Indiana and Ohio fought and continue to fight with thuggish tactics against citizen efforts to reign in public employee unions, with both sides claiming to speak for *democracy* and the *rights of citizens* ... when both sides claim to represent *We, the People*, and when both sides seem to indeed represent the citizenry of the state, the “real” representative of the people, the demonic king of Greece, casts his ugly shadow across both TEA [Taxed Enough Already] Party elected state officials and demonstrating union loyalists. Unlike in the Near and Middle East where the lines between those individuals fronting for the demonic king of Greece [those advocating for democracy] and those individuals fronting for the demonic kings of Persia [those supporting the status quo] are more easily seen, the lines between American and American are muddied by both sides representing *We, the People*. It is as if the demonic king of Greece (from Dan 8:21) has internal fighting that causes this single federation of fallen angelic kings to form in miniature a representation of the Adversary’s reigning hierarchy that is undergoing internal rebellion and strife. And this is the case as revealed by the bi-colored [yellow/white] humanoid image ancient King Nebuchadnezzar saw in vision (the color pattern can be read as *text*).

Scripture has, since the days of Moses, seemed more like fiction than non-fiction—and that is the case today, shortly before the Second Passover liberation of Israel, the nation that is to be circumcised of heart ... humankind serves as pawns in a war it recognizes as war, but doesn’t understand. Humankind is, for angels, as lab mice are for human researchers, not a perfect analogy but one good enough to convey the sense that human beings are *objects* in a demonstration project to show that all forms of democracy and democratic rule will not work. Man cannot govern himself, and the Adversary’s advocacy of democratic self-rule will not produce a *more perfect union* but will result in death and destruction.

I do not determine for myself how I shall love my neighbor and brother; nor does my neighbor determine for himself how he shall love me. Rather, between both of us stands the Most High God who dictates how I shall outwardly manifest inward love for God and for neighbor and brother ... I was not born free to love God and neighbor, but had to be purchased from the Adversary by the blood of Christ Jesus so that by that purchase I could keep the Sabbaths of God and keep the commandments that prescribe how I shall display love for neighbor and brother.

Martin Luther was correct: no one born of Woman can keep the commandments. A person must receive a second breath of life, the breath of God [πνεῦμα θεοῦ], thereby being born of God before the person can keep the commandments, or even desire to keep the commandments (Rom 8:7), with this desire being openly manifested in the Christian taking the Passover sacraments of unleavened bread and wine on the dark portion of the 14th of *Aviv*, the night Jesus was betrayed. The Christian who does not take the Passover sacraments on the night Jesus was betrayed is not yet born of God; nor has the Sabbatarian Christian who denies being born anew been born of God. These Christians are far

from God even though they will believe they are close. And proof that they are far from God comes from themselves, their words and deeds.

Daniel was physically far from Jerusalem and the temple in Jerusalem while it still stood, but he wasn't far from God—

Jesus told the woman of Samaria, “Woman, believe me, the hour is coming when neither on this mountain nor in Jerusalem will you worship the Father. You worship what you do not know; we worship what we know, for salvation is from the Jews. But the hour is coming, and is now here, when *the true worshipers will worship the Father in spirit and truth*, for the Father is seeking such people to worship him. God is spirit, and those who worship him must worship in spirit and truth” (John 4:21–24 emphasis added). Whereas when the Pharisees, Jews from whom was salvation, asked Jesus to tell them plainly if He was the Christ (John 10:24) Jesus refused to give them more of an answer than He had already given them, Jesus told the woman of Samaria that He was the Christ:

The woman said to him, “I know that Messiah is coming (he who is called Christ). When he comes, he will tell us all things.” Jesus said to her, “I who speak to you am he.” / Just then his disciples came back. They marveled that he was talking with a woman, but no one said, “What do you seek?” or, “Why are you talking with her?” So the woman left her water jar and went away into town and said to the people, “Come, see a man who told me all that I ever did. Can this be the Christ?” They went out of the town and were coming to him. (John 4:25–30)

The woman of Samaria, even after being told by Jesus that He was the Christ, brought the people from her town out to Jesus, who then went with the Samaritans, some of whom became believers (John 4:42) ... these Samaritans were more honorable than were the Sadducees and Pharisees even though these Samaritans by their birth could not enter the temple in earthly Jerusalem.

The prophet Daniel, because King Nebuchadnezzar made eunuchs of all captives who served close to him, could not have entered the temple at Jerusalem if he had continued to stand. Yet the people of Samaria as well as the prophet Daniel could worship the Most High in spirit and in truth, with truth being revealed directly to Daniel (see Dan 10:21) and revealed to endtime disciples through the indwelling of the *parakletos*, the spirit of the truth [τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς ἀληθείας], with the Greek icon translated as <truth> being the negation [ἀ] of what has been concealed [ληθείας]. The *parakletos*, a spirit received from God after the disciple has been drawn by the Father and called by the Son, reveals what has been concealed by God from humankind, and this includes the pixel-nature of the Creation itself. The cosmos is to the Most High as a computer simulation is to a human programmer.

The prophetic word Daniel receives when in the vision that has him standing on the banks of the Ulai canal has the king of Greece, the he-goat, trampling the kings of Persia, the ram, with no one able to rescue the king of Persia (Dan chap 8). This vision reveals that the first king, the great king, of the federated king of Greece will be suddenly broken from an unobserved cause, with four kings then

emerging from the compass points around the stump of this first king. These four kings emerge fighting each other in a continuation of the fighting already seen between TEA Party and Union Organizers—only when the first king is broken at the Second Passover liberation of Israel because he is an uncovered legal firstborn, the battle will shift from being philosophically and economically inspired to being theologically inspired as all of humankind seeks *to get right with God*, who slew all uncovered firstborns in a day ...

Yes, without any more warning than what has already been given—without any more warning than the Lord gave to the people in the days of Noah or to the people in the days of Lot—the Most High God will take the lives of all uncovered firstborns, thereby ending worries about underfunded pension plans, runaway health care costs, rationed healthcare and death panels, unsustainable social safety net programs, and whether there will be an NFL season. When a man is being tried for a capital crime with his life placed at risk, does he worry about a penny he has dropped? That juxtaposition was exploited by Russian novelist Fyodor Dostoyevsky, and that juxtaposition represents the inane political bickering between Democrats and Republicans in the United States of America's House and Senate: with the end of the age at hand, with the death in a day of two-plus billion uncovered firstborns soon to occur, the President of the United States spends his time ducking Donald Trump's exploratory jabs about his birth certificate. The President has made *the Donald* his equal as the two of them spar for a penny when the collapse of this world is imminent as humankind stomps through the unsupported veneer of civilization.

If more warning were to be given, the warning would neither be heard nor heeded.

Because both President and Vice-President of the United States are uncovered firstborns; because many in the present Administration are uncovered firstborns; because almost half of all Americans are uncovered firstborns, post Second Passover America will culturally look like a tornado has wiped out people as wind has wiped out homes and power lines and the infrastructure of middle America in what might become the worse tornado season on record.

Is there a connection between the present tornado season and the Second Passover liberation of Israel that will see all Christians filled-with and empowered by the breath [πνεῦμα] of God? Possibly. But only possibly because there are thirty days preceding the Second Passover liberation of Israel that have significance but for which Scripture is silent. What is for certain is that God will, by taking the lives of uncovered firstborns in a day while leaving behind Christians filled-with and empowered by the breath of God, bring about another American Civil War that will go from one American fighting his neighbor and brother to being a worldwide conflict between Arians and Trinitarians. The present dispute between TEA Party fiscal responsibility and Progressive Labor's irresponsibility will morph from being a dispute about the Constitution into being a dispute about whether God is one [Arian Christendom] or three [Trinitarian Christendom], with all of Islam eventually joining themselves to the Arians, thereby giving to the

Arians the muscle needed to prevail against the Trinitarians as the Seleucids prevailed against the Ptolemaic Empire.

6.

President Obama has eagerly sought to enlarge the amount of money that Americans owe to other Americans and to the nations of this world: he mocks efforts to reduce America's indebtedness by proposing *deficit-reduction-budgets* that continue the spending and actually leave the nation with greatly increased indebtedness. It seems President Obama deliberately intends to bankrupt the nation so that he can, by decree, transform the United States into a nation built on *positive rights*, not on *negative liberties*. President Obama seems to intuitively grasp the fallacy upon which America was initially constructed—and yes, the United States of America was constructed on a theological fallacy. Before God [with God], there are no unalienable rights, no right to life or even to one's own personhood, not something Americans and American Christians believe or want to believe. But the Apostle Paul writes that every person has been born consigned to disobedience (Rom 11:32); that is, every person has been humanly born as a slave of the Adversary, born sold into sin. Every person is without right to his or her life, but is, instead, born as the property of the Lord, property the Lord has consigned to Sin and Death so that through the destruction of the flesh of the outer self, the invisible, non-physical inner self of some of humankind might be saved when judgments are revealed.

Sin has a work to do in every person, and that work is to cause the person to flee Sin as Moses fled from before Pharaoh after he realized his slaying of the Egyptian was known (Ex 2:12–15).

The new world order of 18th-Century America was *republicanism*, and while it is commonly believed that America's founding fathers were of God, they were not. They were intelligent, pragmatic men that unwittingly sought to implement the Adversary's goal of self-rule ... any move toward *democracy* and *representational democracy* [*republicanism*] is a move away from God, and when humankind can get no father from God, the Second Passover liberation of Israel, the nation that is to be circumcised of heart, will occur. For *representational democracy* was what Korah and his friends sought (see Num chap 16), and is today what the Egyptian, Syrian, Libyan, even Iranian protestors seek.

American ideals, American *exceptionalism*, the American way of life—all are anti-God, for all are based on *natural* rights, including the right of revolution, which Korah and his friends claimed when they confronted Moses and Aaron (Num chap 16).

The second sentence of America's *Declaration of Independence* is, perhaps, the best known sentence in the English language: *We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness*. This one sentence has become the umbrella that protects all individual human rights. But in reality the sentence best expresses the essence of the Adversary's rebellion against the Most High, for it is the Adversary that said in

his heart that he would ascend to heaven, above the stars of God and set his throne on high and be like the Most High God (Isa 14:13–14).

The Adversary's rebellion against the Most High was a *democratic* uprising and truly did usher in a new world order; i.e., the creation/cosmos in which humanity finds itself. Yes, without the Adversary's rebellion against God, humanity would not be here today. There would have been no need for humanity: the angels as sons of God would not have left their proper dwelling, but would have continued to serve God as servants in a manner misrepresented by the Adversary.

American Progressives play the *race card* as if it trumps everything when, in reality, that card is of Satan who would have human beings respecting the outward appearance of individuals, whether through color, gender, or physical fitness.

When is man is ruled by the appetites of his flesh, the man looks at the outward appearance of a woman, not at her character, the contents of her heart.

When either a man or a woman is ruled by the appetites of the flesh, the man or the woman looks upon appearances, a long standing failing for Jesus said, concerning John the Baptist, “What did you go out into the wilderness to see? A reed shaken by the wind? What then did you go out to see? *A man dressed in soft clothing? Behold, those who wear soft clothing are in kings' houses.* What then did you go out to see? A prophet? Yes, I tell you, and more than a prophet” (Matt 11:7–9 emphasis added).

A person wearing soft clothing discloses, by the person's attire—attire representing the covering of the person—whether the person is a workman or a politician, with taking the Passover sacraments on the night that Jesus was betrayed representing the Christian's attire/covering of grace. The Christian who, on the night that Jesus was betrayed, does not wash feet [if more than one person is present] and does not eat and drink from the table of the Lord has no portion with the Lord, is not of God, and will be as the man was who came to the wedding feast without a wedding garment (Matt 22:11–13); for indeed, many *Christians* have been drawn by the Father and called by the Son, but few will be chosen. Few—very few—Christians will, on the dark portion of the 14th of *Aviv*, take the sacraments representing the body and blood of Christ Jesus this year. Very, very few Christians actually wear the garment of grace as they strive to walk uprightly before God.

In the garden of God, the serpent was more subtle (crafty) than any other beast (Gen 3:1). In the cosmos, that old serpent, Satan the devil, is more subtle than humankind has imagined; for as the Lord used color to show dissension within the spiritual king of Babylon's reigning hierarchy, the serpent has used *color* as mighty words against the Ancient of Days. ... Color comes from the reflection and absorption of wave-length bands within the visible spectrum of light. When all of the visible spectrum is equally reflected, the color is *white*. When all of the visible spectrum is equally absorbed, the color is *gray* to *black*. Shadows appear *black* because the thing casting the shadow has blocked the energy waves of the visible light spectrum. Hence, human beings ranging in surface [skin] colors from very

light brown to very dark brown are neither *white* nor *black* although these two linguistic icons have been improperly applied to humankind for centuries.

The Adversary, because he blocks the light of God, should appear *black*, but when seen in prophecy, the Adversary appears yellow, disclosing that he reflects some things that are of God ... the Apostle Paul writes,

And what I do I will continue to do, in order to undermine the claim of those who would like to claim that in their boasted mission they work on the same terms as we do. For such men are false apostles, deceitful workmen, disguising themselves as apostles of Christ. And no wonder, for even *Satan disguises himself as an angel of light*. So it is no surprise if his servants, also, disguise themselves as servants of righteousness. Their end will correspond to their deeds. (2 Cor 11:12–15 emphasis added)

A disciple should be able to distinguish between a servant of God and a minister of the Adversary through the *color* of the message each delivers to the holy ones, but again, this would require reading *color* as a text, with all forms of racism blinding the Christian so that this Christian cannot *read* what is readily apparent. And any message/sermon that places importance on the surface of things or people is not of God but is of the Adversary. Therefore, white racism, black racism, sexism prevents the Christian from being able to discern whether a person teaches as a servant of God or as a minister of the Adversary. The same holds true for the person who judges another by whether the person is physically attractive or unattractive, fit or fat, wears fine clothes or rough clothing—the Christian who uses surface appearances as the person’s yardstick with which he or she measures the temple of God neither is of God nor has found the holy city of Jerusalem. Rather, this person, because he or she says that the person can see will not have his or her sins forgiven (John 9:41).

Of greater concern is the Christian, especially the Sabbatarian, who claims to be able to see the spiritual things of God yet who cannot discern good from evil—who will not cease receiving a marked swindler—for all who claim that they can discern spiritual matters but who will continue to receive the sexually immoral, the idolater, the swindler are permanently cut off from God and cannot be recovered. By claiming to be able to discern spiritual matters [i.e., to see], the person who receives/supports an idolater or a swindler makes him or herself into an idolater or swindler, receiving the same reward, the same wages as the idolater or swindler just as the person who receives/supports a prophet receives a prophet’s reward (Matt 10:41). To bring this home so that there is no mistaking what’s being said: Norman Scott Edwards of Port Austin, Michigan, is marked as a swindler and someone not to be received by Christian brethren. Every Christian who has received or supported Edwards since he was marked in 2004 has done real injury to the Body of Christ and as such has earned the same spiritual wages that Edwards has earned. This means that every Christian who has received Edwards has already condemned him or herself to the lake of fire before the Second Passover liberation of Israel occurs. When the Second Passover occurs, those Christians who have received Edwards will be cursed, struck with plagues, and delivered into the hands

of Death; for these Christians, even though taking the Passover sacraments, did not rightly discern the Body of Christ—and for their lack of discernment, many are now weak and ill, with all to die physically during the Affliction, and to die out of covenant with Christ because they didn't discern the Body which was nearer to them than Edwards was. None can be recovered. All are cutoff forever.

Again, it is the Adversary who has used color to speak blasphemous words against the Ancient of Days—

It is the Father who uses color to show how the Adversary and his reigning hierarchy is false, and what the Father's use of color reveals is the need for an absolutism in keeping the commandments. To please God, disciples cannot be sloppy in their love for Him or for neighbor and brother. *Close* isn't good enough. *Gold* and *silver* as colors represent outright rebellion against God and rebellion through mingling the sacred with the profane. For light has no color while being every color. Christ's disciples are to be sons of light (John 12:36), casting no shadow of disobedience or unbelief. They are not to be golden or silver colored sons of the Adversary.

The writer of Hebrews says,

For to which of the angels did God ever say,
“You are my Son,
today I have begotten you”?

Or again,

“I will be to him a father,
and he shall be to me a son”?

And again, when he brings the firstborn into the world, he says,

“Let all God's angels worship him.”

Of the angels he says,

“He makes his angels winds,
and his ministers a flame of fire.”

But of the Son he says,

“Your throne, O God, is forever and ever,
the scepter of uprightness is the scepter of your kingdom.
You have loved righteousness and hated wickedness;
therefore God, your God, has anointed you
with the oil of gladness beyond your companions.”

And,

“You, Lord, laid the foundation of the earth in the beginning,
and the heavens are the work of your hands;
they will perish, but you remain;
they will all wear out like a garment,
like a robe you will roll them up,
like a garment they will be changed.
But you are the same,
and your years will have no end.”

And to which of the angels has he ever said,

“Sit at my right hand

until I make your enemies a footstool for your feet”?
Are they [angels] not all ministering spirits sent out to serve for the sake of those who are to inherit salvation? (Heb 1:5–14 emphasis added)

According to the writer of Hebrews, angels have been made servants [ministering spirits] for the sake of the disciples of Christ Jesus; yet the angelic king of Babylon sought to ascend above the stars of God and make himself like the Most High (Isa 14:13–14). And this angelic/demonic king of Babylon, in setting up his *ideal* kingdom here on earth used *color* to make a statement against the Most High concerning what he thought about angels being ministering spirits; for the Adversary linked black slavery to the social status of angels ... when life is light, darkness equates to death. And as far as the Adversary was concerned, the angel who was consigned to being a ministering spirit was as good as dead.

How has the Adversary explained to his minions what death means to an angel? He has equated being consigned to serve those who will inherit salvation to actual death, but he is a liar: the angel consigned to serve disciples remains alive. The rebelling angel, though, by not believing the Lord was cast into the Abyss and will lose his [its] life when the first heaven and the first earth pass away. The rebelling angel is confined on death row [the Creation is a glorious death chamber], with no escape possible unless the angel brings forth fruit worthy of repentance, with no criteria existing for this fruit. The angel imprisoned in the Abyss is as the Muslim is: the angel, already condemned, has no model for how to escape execution of the death sentence the angel is presently under. The angel has no covering of grace, no sacrificial covering; yet glorified disciples would not judge angels if the possibility of commuting already decreed death sentences did not exist. Hence, it is up to the rebelling angel to turn from its rebellion and demonstrate by works that the angel truly believes God, with the angel having to figure out what it would take to convince glorified human beings that the angel’s death sentence needs to be revisited.

Because the Adversary linked slavery to death through his use of *color* in the Age of Enlightenment, the foundational documents of the United States of America embedded social wounds that have never properly healed: the United States of America is a special nation, not because God made it special as American Christians are quick to argue, but because the Adversary has staked the entirety of his rebellion against the Most High on America’s success. If America succeeds in men being able to rule themselves, then the Adversary can mobilize this successful demonstration of democratic ideals and use it to attack the absolutism of the Most High. If America fails, then the Adversary fails with the nation. If President Obama is *forced* to usurp authority not given to an elected President—he already has—then *republicanism* fails as does the Adversary’s claim that the Most High has taken to Himself too much authority, too much power, thereby exalting Himself above the assembly of the people.

America has been and remains a city set on a hill, but not the city of God ...

To demonstrate how oppressive the Most High was, the Adversary introduced [or at least permitted] the enslavement of black Africans by white landowners,

thereby using color to shame and vilify the Lord, the Light of this world ... again, in discussions of *light*, white light represents full spectrum light whereas black represents the absence of light, with light theologically representing life. A dark-skinned African enslaved to a white landowner would, by skin color, reveal that this person had no life in a way analogous to how the Adversary believed that he and his fellow rebels had no life under the Most High's creation of angels to be servants (Heb 1:14).

Human slavery is not of God, but of the Adversary who used slavery in America to score an ideological victory in his failed rebellion against the Most High. Certainly God permitted slavery in Egypt, even in ancient Israel, with the enslavement of the Hebrews in Egypt representing how God has consigned all of humankind to disobedience (Rom 11:32) so that He can have mercy on all. But outwardly, Egyptians and Hebrews looked enough alike that Moses, having fled Egypt, was identified by the daughters of the priest of Midian as an Egyptian (Ex 2:19). The difference between Egyptian and Hebrew was outward circumcision concealed by the modest attire of the man. The evidence of enslavement was concealed by a covering of fabric, a point that needs to be remembered.

But the Adversary in establishing his new world order in America employed slavery to make a protest statement against the Most High: because of how the Adversary has used slavery in America to present his claims that angels had no *life*, no equality prior to his rebellion, it was absolutely necessary that the evidence of enslavement be visible and remain visible even after the slave has been freed. Color served this purpose: elsewhere color serves as the means of identifying who is of God and who is of the Adversary. Thus, since in the Adversary's construction of his new world order that would have angels metaphorically ruling as equals with the Most High, it was absolutely essential for a person of color to ascend to the highest political office in the United States of America. But the Adversary will betray rebelling angels that today support him; for it has been his intention from the beginning to *ascend above the stars of God* (Isa 14:13). He must, to satisfy himself, have that the person of color seize control of the mechanisms of government, thereby setting his throne on high and confirming that man cannot rule himself in any form of democratic governance.

The person who has the power and ability to be *first* must use that power and ability to serve the least of his or her peers, not use the abilities given to the person for self aggrandizement. The Adversary isn't of a mindset to serve, but to be served. And there is no oppression greater than when the oppressed receives power to oppress. When the lowliest of men are elevated to high positions, they lose whatever compassion they had and become truly ruthless as was the case with Adolph Hitler and Joseph Stalin. The difference between how Franklin D. Roosevelt conducted himself and how Hitler conducted himself when both men had approximately equal hold on the reins of national power stems as much from where each fit into society before they gained power as it had to do with the society that produced each.

Abraham Lincoln seized control of the mechanisms of government and is generally considered to be one of America's greatest presidents. Franklin D.

Roosevelt covertly seized control of the mechanisms of government and is generally considered to be one of America's greatest presidents [this is not my opinion of FDR]. So it will seem reasonable to President Obama that he, too, to avert a fiscal crisis, at least temporarily suspend civil liberties and take direct control of the national government ... whether the Adversary will be allowed to implement this metaphor of an angel ruling over the Most High prior to the Second Passover liberation of Israel remains to be seen: what Scripture suggests is that on the day when the Adversary's makes his metaphoric move against the Most High, the Second Passover will occur, with the President of the United States being struck down in the same way and at the same time as when the first king of the federated king of Greece is broken (Dan 8:8). Hence, the suggestion of Scripture is that President Obama will move to take to himself dictatorial power on or about May 18th, if the Second Passover is this year.

Again, no person born of Woman has an inalienable right to life, liberty, or the pursuit of happiness. To declare that *human beings have an inalienable right to life* is to declare that God doesn't have authority to do with His property whatever He chooses whenever He chooses, which includes taking the life of a person without explanation and without apparent cause. For the duration of this present evil age, God has chosen to deliver humankind to the Adversary so that the Adversary can do his work of rebellion against God, thus destroying the flesh of every person, flesh that cannot enter heaven—and what human being has not died physically or is not subject to death? Name the person. Yet once the world is baptized in the breath of God (Joel 2:28), every person—although still mortal—will not die from internal causes unless the person returns to Sin as Sin's willing slave.

Easter, Resurrection Sunday, and the Wave Sheaf Offering—all occur on the same day this year, April 24th. It is my prayer in the Son's name that every *Christian* who observed and honored the Resurrection of Christ Jesus be filled-with and empowered by the breath of God on the second Passover this year, thereby bringing to life the presently divided and dead Body of Christ. Yes, my prayer is that the Most High forcibly take from the Christian his or her present lawless nature and give to the Christian the nature of Christ Jesus, hence writing the commandments on the Christian's heart so that the Christian finally—and for the first time—knows the Lord.

Ever since the Lord sold humankind into sin (figuratively, sold all of humanity down the river) it has been the desire of God to have mercy on all of humanity, but the Adversary has been slow to do his work of broadcasting rebellion ...

The work of the Adversary, work that began before iniquity was found in this anointed cherub (Ezek 28:14–15) and before the Creation existed, is to blanket this present world in his broadcast of rebellion. Every person feeds on this broadcast of rebellion, but some few people *rebel* against the rebellion, rebel against the Adversary, and turn toward God and begin to walk uprightly, keeping the commandments and having love for brother and neighbor. In these few individuals who reject [rebel against] the ways of this present age and turn to God, believing God, reverse psychology has worked: the employment of rebellion to cause human beings to rebel against the ultimate rebel, the Adversary, will have been successful.

But overall, most of humankind has embraced the Adversary and has been pleased to call him their lord and will not cease worshiping him as lord until the single kingdom of this world is taken from him and given to the Son of Man on the doubled day 1260 of the seven endtime years.

The millennial reign of Christ Jesus as King of kings and Lord of lords represents the reality of the year of jubilee, with the Seven *sevens* [i.e., 49 years] representing in type the *Seven* sevens [7 weeks] between the Wave Sheaf Offering and the Feast of Weeks: these seven weeks represent the reality of the first *Seven sevens* of Daniel's seventy-weeks prophecy.

7.

American Christians—especially Latter Day Saints—hold that the United States of America is a divinely created nation, that the Constitution of the United States of America is a divinely inspired document, but this simply is not true! Democracy is not of God; representational democracy is never of God. So the Christian willing to fight to preserve the United States will fight against God and will lose both his or her physical life as well as eternal life.

However, America's foundational documents will do what the Puritans arriving in Boston Harbor sought to do in 1630 CE ... while still aboard the *Arbella*, the first governor of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, John Winthrop, delivered his famous sermon *A Model of Christian Charity* in which he admonished the colonists to be that “city set on a hill [that] cannot be hidden” (Matt 5:14). Puritans hoped that the nation they were about to found would serve as a redeemer nation, a nation of saints, an example of Christian righteousness that would hasten the coming of Christ Jesus. In a 9 January 1961 speech, President-elect John Kennedy spoke of the United States as *a city upon a hill* upon which *the eyes of all people* were fixed. Then President-elect Kennedy said,

For we are setting out upon a voyage in 1961 no less hazardous than that undertaken by the *Arbella* in 1630. We are committing ourselves to tasks of statecraft no less awesome than that of governing the Massachusetts Bay Colony, beset as it was then by terror without and disorder within. History will not judge our endeavors—and a government cannot be selected—merely on the basis of color or creed or even party affiliation. Neither will competence and loyalty and stature, while essential to the utmost, suffice in times such as these. For of those to whom much is given, much is required. [addressed delivered to the General Court of Massachusetts]

With God, a government will be of only one creed: there will be no tolerance of *tolerance* in any government of the Most High.

Indeed, President Kennedy's vision for America, an expansion of FDR's progressive vision for the nation, laid the foundation for President Johnson's Civil Rights legislation and Johnson's *War on Poverty*, with the entitlement program *Medicare* sealing the fiscal doom of the nation. Indeed, JFK set America upon a hazardous voyage, with the ship of state running hard aground on rocky shoals off the Cape of Unrealistic Hope ... *to whom much has been given, much is required,*

but little was given to those who have by the sweat of their brow and strength of their arm forged much from little, changing the course of family histories through hard work, wise decisions, and a government contained within constitutional constraints.

If the United States of America were of God, Sabbath observance would be the law of the land. As it is, the Adversary gives little quarter to sons of God living out-of-sync with this world through keeping the commandments ... as far as the National Labor Relations Board [NLRB] is concerned, seniority trumps the Sabbath: American workers have no legal right to observe the Sabbath, for the body of every American belongs to the State just as the bodies of Hebrews in Egypt belonged to Pharaoh. A citizen is free in his or her mind to observe the Sabbaths of God, but the Establishment Clause doesn't extend that right to the hands and body of the citizen. Therefore, what President Ronald Reagan said in his 11 January 1989 farewell speech to the nation stands as an apt representation of the inherent problem of a nation not founded upon the Lord: "I've spoken of the shining city all my political life, but I don't know if I ever quite communicated what I saw when I said it." Without the nation being built upon the commandments of God; without the nation being intolerant of opposing ideologies and philosophies, that shining city on a hill is nothing more than a sooty lamp that has run out of oil.

With God, there is no tolerance of idolatry, of homosexuality or bestiality, of mingling the sacred with the profane as in Sunday worship or Christmas observance. With God, there is no tolerance of any religion but that which He establishes. But this is not yet God's world: He has delivered humankind into the hand of the Adversary for, again, the destruction of the flesh so that through the corruption and decay of the flesh some of humankind will be utterly appalled and will turn to Him and believe Him and begin to keep His commandments that are the outward expression of love toward Him and toward neighbor and brother.

Once again, no person born of Woman has an inalienable right to life, liberty, or the pursuit of happiness. Every person born of Woman is born as a slave of the Adversary. Every person voluntarily or involuntarily serves the Adversary through being sold into Sin by the Lord—and that is correct! Only when the Father draws a person from this world (John 6:44, 65), drawing the person by giving the person the earnest of the spirit, is the person free to keep the commandments: the Christian who refuses to keep the commandments does so because he or she is not yet free to keep them for once a Christian has been set free from Sin, the Christian will earnestly desire to please God and do those things that are pleasing to God, with keeping the commandments being an outward manifestation of love for God and for neighbor and brother.

It is *natural* for the person consigned to disobedience to worship the Adversary as his or her lord, for indeed, the Adversary is the person's lord regardless of whether the person is a Muslim or a Buddhist or a Catholic or a Seventh Day Adventist ... the Christian who has been drawn from this world by the Father lives contrary to nature and what is natural in this world. The genuine Christian will bear the fruit of the spirit when it is not the season for fruit. And this Christian is

unusual. This is the person whom the Lord seeks, for again, “many are called, but few are chosen” (Matt 22:14).

Professing that Jesus is Lord doesn't, however, make a person in the 21st-Century a genuine Christian. The one who is genuine will keep the Passover after the manner Paul commanded the saints at Corinth (1 Cor 11:23–34), meaning that on the night Jesus was betrayed—the dark portion of the 14th of *Aviv*—the person will take the sacraments of unleavened bread [the body of Christ before sin has done its work] and wine [the blood of Christ after sin has done its work]. For the Christian new in the faith and the Christian long in the faith, together, will eat the bread and drink the cup annually until the Lord returns, with however many times the Christian eats and drinks becoming *many* but observed once a year as the annual covering for sin that is analogous to the wife of a husband covering her head with long hair and covering her hair with a fabric covering of her own making.

The head of a wife is her husband in a similar manner to how Christ Jesus is the Head of the Church: the genuine Christian will be to Christ Jesus as a wife is to her husband. And as a wife does no *work* in allowing her hair to grow long, a disciple does no work in walking uprightly before God; for what work is involved in having no gods before the Lord? What work is involved in making no graven image, in not taking the Lord's name in vain? What work is involved in not working on the Sabbath, in honoring father and mother, in doing no murder, in not committing adultery, in not stealing, in not bearing false witness, in not coveting? Keeping the commandments takes no work done by hands. Yes, it takes some *work* to maintain long hair just as it takes some work to continue walking uprightly before God, but keeping the Sabbath is really no more difficult than combing one's hair.

There is a juxtaposition of importance that most Christian women have ignored for the past century: the Apostle Paul places the subject of head coverings between eating at the Lord's table (1 Cor 10:21) and taking the Passover sacraments on the night Jesus was betrayed (1 Cor 11:23) for a reason, that of head coverings addressing in symbolism the sacraments of unleavened bread and wine.

Yeast represents sin, but the Feast of Unleavened Bread is **not** the *Feast of Unleavened Wine* (aka, Grape Juice). So a simple absence of sin as represented by unleavened bread only addresses the body of Christ, not His blood that was poured out for the forgiveness of sin ... there is no need to forgive sin when no sin is present.

Unleavened bread is dough baked before yeast spores can do their work of causing the bread to be soft and puffed up, becoming one of the finer things of life; hence, unleavened bread is *bread of affliction*. And as most American women will certify, a human person will have long hair through doing nothing, and that long hair becomes an affliction if not combed and kept clean ... the connection between unleavened bread and hair will initially seem tenuous and would be difficult to recognize if either were divorced from the concept of *coverings*, but by placing his admonishment to be imitators of Christ at the forefront of his discussion of head and hair forming coverings and by inserting this discussion of coverings in the midst of his admonishment to the holy ones at Corinth concerning the Passover sacraments, Paul tells the astute reader that there is a connection between the

Passover sacraments *covering* the disciple, with the Cup representing the blood of Christ Jesus that is poured out for the forgiveness of sins (Matt 26:28), and husbands and hair.

Reduced to its simplest form, the unleavened bread and wine of the Passover sacraments *cover* the sins of disciples in this world [the bread] and in the heavenly realm [the wine], and are analogous to the two goats that are Israel's sin offering on *Yom Kipporim*, with the goat sacrificed on the altar being represented by the bread and the *Azazel* being represented by the wine.

To walk as Jesus walked; to imitate Paul as he imitated Christ requires the disciple take the Passover sacraments on the night Jesus was betrayed, the dark portion of the 14th of *Aviv*.

In addition, when circumcision moves from outward and physical to inward [of the heart] and spiritual, the *head* that represents the man moves from between his loins to the top of his shoulders—

As Jesus changed the Passover symbols from a bleating lamb of the first year to His body and His blood for He was the Lamb of God, with His body and blood represented by unleavened bread and wine, Jesus changed the outward representation for circumcision to a man having an *uncovered* head, with his hair cut short.

The foreskin was/is the natural skin covering of the *head* of a man: circumcision of the penis represents the removal of this natural *covering of the head*. Hence, circumcision caused a man to appear naked before God, with the man covered only by his obedience to God. That obedience should cause the man to walk uprightly before God, thereby imitating Paul as he imitated the Lord. Obedience through belief of God is the proper attire for every son of God. No other covering is needed. But because sin and death continue to dwell in the fleshly members of disciples, Christians in this present era need the additional garment of grace, Christ Jesus' righteousness.

When the circumcision of record [of importance] moves from being of the foreskin to being of the heart—moves from being visible but concealed by modest attire to being invisible through concealment by the fleshly body of the person, with the modest attire of the man representing the fleshly body of the Christian that keeps the commandments—there is no discernible means of determining whether a person has or has not been circumcised except through the son of God taking the Passover sacraments on the night that Jesus was betrayed, thereby covering or *garmenting* the son of God with the righteousness of Christ Jesus.

The physical nakedness that came upon the head of a man when his foreskin was circumcised—nakedness through circumcision that could be displayed upon the demand of temple officials—had no counterpart in the women of ancient Israel: their head was their husband or father, who covered them by his circumcision and obedience to God. It was for this reason that sin did not enter this world when Eve ate forbidden fruit (she was *covered* by Adam's belief of God), but sin entered when Adam ate and both then knew that they were naked (Gen 3:6–7).

Modern women have repeatedly objected to the portrayal of women in ancient Israel, an objection that has validity before the Adversary who has also long resented angelic servitude. But Adam was created first, and Eve was created from Adam, and the two were one flesh (Gen 2:24) for these two had only one head, not two heads, and the one head would have been at the end of Adam's penis. Thus, because of how women are biologically formed, the Woman as the representation of the Bride of Christ was without a head of her own that could be circumcised. The Woman is covered by Her Head, the glorified Christ Jesus, as the first Eve was covered by the first Adam's belief of God.

Because outward circumcision no longer has importance in this era, the presence or absence of a penis no longer has importance before God. Skin color has no importance before God. The surface appearance of the person has no importance. And the preceding cannot be too strongly emphasized!

When the Father draws a person from this world by giving the person a second breath of life, the Father gives life to the formerly dead inner self ... the resurrected, living inner self is a son of God, and when the heart has been cleansed through undertaking a spiritual journey of faith equivalent in length to Abraham's physical journey of faith from Ur of the Chaldeans [Babylon] to Haran [Assyria] and then down to Canaan [the Promised Land], the heart shall be circumcised with a circumcision not made with hands but by the soft breath of God (*cf.* Rom 2:28–29; Col 2:11; Deut 30:6). For a Christian, crossing the Jordan into the Promised Land of God's rest comes through Sabbath observance: the Christian who does not enter into Sabbath observance remains on the east side of the Jordan, and no Moabite will inherit the kingdom of God: even Ruth had to cross the Jordan and become a part of Israel.

In changing the Passover symbols, Christ Jesus removed emphasis from the penis and placed emphasis on the cranial head, with the person's hair representing the natural covering that was formerly represented by the foreskin. Therefore, length of the male disciple's hair symbolizes whether the man is inwardly circumcised, with circumcision representing a making naked as does a man having short hair represent inward nakedness.

A male disciple as part of the Body of Christ—the Woman—has a head, Christ Jesus. Nevertheless, the male disciple is *male* and as such, if married, is the head of his wife. Thus, “every man who prays or prophesies with his head covered dishonors his head [Christ]” (1 Cor 11:4) ... he doesn't dishonor his own cranial head, but he dishonors Christ Jesus. Likewise, “every wife who prays or prophesies with her head uncovered dishonors her head [her husband as well as Christ Jesus]” (*v.* 5); for the Christian wife has a physical head [her husband] while her inner self, a son of God, has a spiritual head [Christ].

The Christian husband does not have a physical head, but has only a spiritual head. The Christian wife, though, does have a physical head; hence, the Christian wife has two coverings that needs to be symbolically represented.

There are two harvests of God—the harvest of firstfruits that begins Christ Jesus' millennial reign, and the harvest in the great White Throne Judgment after the Thousand Years—that together represent the single harvest of this world.

However, within the harvest of firstfruits, there are two harvests, the first being of Christ Jesus, the First of the firstfruits, and the latter being of disciples at His Second Coming. Together, Christ Jesus and all those disciples who are His form one harvest of firstfruits, with Christ Jesus being the head of His disciples, all of whom will be His glorified younger brothers (Rom 8:29). Likewise, the firstfruits [Christ, Head and Body] will be the head of those disciples who will be glorified in the great White Throne Judgment.

Therefore, the marriage of a man and his wife, with these two becoming one flesh, forms a representation of the harvest of this earth, which as two becoming one, and with the two-that-are-one conjoined to another to form one after the pattern displayed in the thought-couplets of Hebrew poetics. ... The broken unleavened bread that represents the body of Christ and the wine in the cup that represents the blood of Christ—together, these two sacraments represent the single Lamb of God, sacrificed on the 14th of *Aviv*.

Two symbols were changed on the night that Jesus was betrayed: the paschal lamb and circumcision, with the Lamb representing the Head and circumcision representing the Body.

Again, when circumcision is of the heart, biological gender makes no difference. Yet a man remains a man, and a woman remains a woman, with this difference in similitude represented by hair length—with the woman [the man's wife] who could not be outwardly circumcised, having long hair to show that she is under the authority of Christ Jesus as her spiritual head, and with her long hair covered by a fabric covering of her own making to show that she is under the authority of her husband, her physical head.

Hair on the human head grows by itself and to grow hair requires no work on the part of either the man or his wife.

When a man cuts his hair short in a symbolic statement about inward circumcision, the man shows that he has no physical covering for his sins except his obedience to God, with his inward circumcision emphasized by praying or prophesying with his cranial head uncovered; i.e., by not having long hair or wearing a cap or hat. Likewise, when the man's wife doesn't cut her hair short but has long hair in a symbolic statement about inward circumcision, the wife shows that she is under the authority of Christ Jesus. But her hair length makes no symbolic statement about whether she is under the authority of her husband, who is to her physically as Christ Jesus to her spiritually.

For the sake of the angels that did and didn't rebel against the Most High because of their consigned servitude, the Christian wife who is by order of creation under the physical authority of her husband—the Christian wife should show angels that she does not resent the social position into which her creation placed her, that she is not like the Adversary who permitted his resentment of being a servant to fuel his rebellion against God. And this Christian wife shows her lack of resentment by covering her hair with a fabric covering made by her hands.

The long hair and cap of a Christian wife as symbols transcends this world and functions spiritually in a manner similar to how wine as a Passover sacrament functions; for in the Cup, yeast/sin has done its work. The Adversary's broadcast of

rebellion against authority, of resentment against being under authority is overcome and defeated when the Christian wife voluntarily covers her hair with a fabric cap. She understands what her cap represents ...

It takes *work* by the wife to make a fabric covering for her hair just as it takes work to crush grapes and barrel the fermenting grape juice, but it takes no real work to wear a fabric covering as it takes no real work by the vintner to wait until the yeast on the grape skins does its work of transforming juice into wine. And when the work of sin is completed, the wife will die, her sins covered by her obedience to her husband and by Christ Jesus, with the Cup of the Passover sacraments representing the forgiveness of sins in a manner analogous to how the husband's [Adam's] obedience covers his wife's [Eve's] transgressions.

Again, the head of a wife is her husband (1 Cor 11:3), with the converted wife displaying her submission to the Lord by the growth of her natural hair, and with the converted wife displaying her obedience to her natural husband by the fabric covering she wears over her hair in a manner analogous to how all genuine Christians cover themselves by taking the Passover sacraments of unleavened bread and wine on the dark portion of the 14th of *Aviv*, the night that Jesus was betrayed. If a Christian will not take the Passover sacraments on the night Jesus was betrayed, then this Christian is as a wife who is shorn, disgraced, a public woman, the property of the Adversary, with the Adversary able to market as meat this woman who *feels* liberated, freed from the oppression of patriarchal gaze, empowered by her beauty and the display of her body, little realizing that she has butchered herself so that the Adversary can sell her to whomever he pleases.

The uncovered wife is truly analogous to the Christian who doesn't take the Passover sacraments on the night Jesus was betrayed: the Christian wife who covers her head with her hair but who doesn't cover her hair is as the Christian who eats the broken unleavened bread but who refuses to drink from the Cup ... the stripes that Jesus took represent the means by which the physical bodies of Christians are healed but these stripes do not forgive sins. Unless the Christian also drinks from the Cup, the sins of the Christian are **not** forgiven.

Again, the work of Sin, the third horseman, is analogous to the work of yeast in wine.

Part Four will continue from this point.

*

"Scripture quotations are from The Holy Bible, English Standard Version, copyright © 2001 by Crossway Bibles, a division of Good News Publishers. Used by permission. All rights reserved."

* * *

[[Current Commentary](#)] [[Archived Commentaries](#)] [[Home](#)]